MIZ

Autonomous Investigations of Marginal Ice Zone Processes-Changing Feedbacks and Observational Challenges



Craig Lee (APL-UW), Martin Doble (LOV), Wieslaw Maslowski (NPS), Tim Stanton (NPS), Jim Thomson (APL-UW), Mary-Louise Timmermans (Yale) and Jeremy Wilkinson (BAS)



Ice Mass Balance Buoys- Wilkinson (BAS), Hwang (SAMS), Maksym (WHOI), Richter-Menge (CRREL)

Wave Buoys- Wadhams (Cambridge), Doble (LOV)

Surface Wave Measurements- Thomson (APL-UW)

Autonomous Ocean Flux Buoys- Stanton, Shaw (NPS)

Autonomous Gliders- Lee, Rainville, Gobat (APL-UW)

Biogechemical Measurements (Perry, U. Maine)

Acoustic Navigation and Wavegliders- Freitag (WHOI)

Profiling Floats- Owens, Jayne (WHOI)

Ice-Tethered Profilers- Toole, Krishfield, Cole, Thwaites (WHOI), Timmermans (Yale)

Remote Sensing- Graber (CSTARS, U. Miami), Hwang (SAMS)

MIZMAS model- Zhang, Schweiger, Steel (APL-UW)

Regional Arctic Climate System Model- Maslowski, Roberts, Cassano, Hughes (NPS)

Arctic Nowcast/Forecast Model- Posey, Allard, Brozena, Gardner (NRL)

Melt Ponds, Biology, Biogeochemistry- Kang, Yang & colleagues (Korean Polar Research Institute)

External Collaborations- NRL, NASA, NOAA, ESA

- Tightly integrated program.
- Interdependent elements.
- Exceptional collaboration.
- Strong team effort.



MIZ Declining Extent & Multi-Year Fraction 🤇





 \Downarrow Extent + \Downarrow Thickness = \Downarrow sea ice volume

Quantity and quality of sea ice impact processes and feedbacks.



Models Struggle to Reproduce Dramatic Reduction in Summertime Sea Ice Extent



- 50% reduction in summer sea ice extent
 - 7 million km² in the 1970s
 - 3.4 million km² in 2012
- Wintertime sea ice maximum declining.
- Decline primarily thermodynamic, other processes may increase in importance.

Minimum Sea Ice Extent





Improve Predictability – Refine Models

- Process-level investigations
- Improve physics, parameterizations
- Continued testing against sustained observations

Refine physics <u>at the ice edge</u> – between pack ice and open water – <u>Marginal Ice Zone</u>





<u>Science</u>

- 1. Understand the physics that control sea ice breakup and melt in and around the ice edge (Marginal Ice Zone MIZ).
- 2. Characterize changes in physics associated with decreasing ice/increasing open water.
- 3. Explore feedbacks in the ice-ocean-atmosphere system that might increase/decrease the speed of sea ice decline.
- 4. Collect a benchmark dataset for refining and testing models.

<u>Technical</u>

- 1. Develop and demonstrate new robotic networks for collecting observations in, under and around sea ice.
- 2. Improve interpretation of satellite imagery.
- 3. Improve numerical models to enhance seasonal forecast capability.



Atmosphere-Ice-Ocean Interaction









- 1. Multiple Domains: Simultaneous measurements of atmosphere, ice and upper ocean.
- 2. Resolution: Resolve temporal evolution and smallscale spatial variability (4-D physics).
- Persistence: Sample entire melt season (Jun Sep).
 Physics change as a function of open water extent.
- 4. Access: Measurements in full- and partial- ice cover.
- 5. Scalability: Large number of platforms provide distributed sampling, mitigate risk.



The Revolution in Robotic Observing







Putting the Pieces Together







Autonomous Approach





- Array drifts with ice pack- follow evolution along the line.
- Maintains focus on MIZ by following northward retreat of ice edge.
- Ice-based array samples ice-covered area.
- Drifting platforms in open- and ice-covered water.
- Mobile platforms span ice-free, MIZ and icecovered regions.
- Follow MIZ retreat northward through September 2014.

Risk Mitigation: 20% of assets held for deployment in August at northernmost site using Korean icebreaker Araon.





'Fast & Light' Vessel Logistics



R/V Ukpik, July 2014





Ice edge measurements (turbulence wave attenuation)

R/V Norseman II, Sept 2014



Recover: 4 seagliders 3 SWIFT buoys 1 wavegliders



Ice edge measurements (CTD and wave attenuation)



MIZ Remote Sensing







- Dedicated support from National Ice Center, meteorological reports & drift forecasts inform planning & targeting.
- Agile targeting to follow drifting instruments, respond to rapidly-evolving MIZ
- Targeting strategy and protocols developed & tested prior to main program.
- Small targeting team (remote sensing, models, observations) led by Bill Shaw

MIZ Autonomous Sampling (1 Mar – 20 Oct 2014, 8 months)

11Z





Ice concentration maps (AMSR2) from U. Bremen



Sea ice mass balance

Wilkinson, Maksym and Hwang



Cannot directly measure ice thickness from space Need autonomous platforms





Open Water Fraction & Floe Size Distribution



Wilkinson, Maksym and Hwang



- Complex algorithms needed to separate floes.
- Not fully automated
- Floe size distribution
- Fraction of open water

Can be applied to both high resolution radar and visible satellite imagery.





Surface Wave Attenuation in Sea Ice



- Thomson (APL-UW)
- Fetch-limited waves in the Beaufort sea are rapidly attenuated at ice edge, because wavelengths are short
- Ice effectively protects itself from the waves, like a beach protects the coast... and thus interior of ice pack is likely controlled by thermodynamics







- Ice-Tethered Profilers at C2 and C4
- 70-250 m depth
- IW energy increases from spring into summer
- IW energy appears to increase with increasing open water fraction.



Glider sections across the MIZ

Lee, Rainville, Gobat, Webster, Freitag





Freeze-up (26 Sep 2014)

- Deeper mixed layers.
- Elevated lateral variability.
- Near-surface temperature maxima formation?
- Sharp contrast in chl fluorescence across MIZ.





Melt-Out (5 Sep 2014)

- Warmer, fresher out of the ice.
- Thickening isopycnal layer at ice edge.
- Ice-edge upwelling?
- Ice-edge mixing?





Early Results



<u>Science</u>

- 1. In this year, waves do not appear to have played a large role in breakup of the pack- thermodynamics dominate.
- 2. Surface waves attenuate rapidly upon encountering ice, even in fractional cover.
- 3. Signatures of lateral mixing and vertical exchange driven by smallscale front and eddies near the ice 'edge'.
- 4. Clear contrasts in chlorophyll distribution associated with ice 'edge'.
- 5. Secondary bloom during freeze-up, associated with elevated mixing. Technical
- 1. Autonomous observing from pack ice, though the MIZ and into open water spanning an entire melt season (March October 2014).
- 2. Under-ice glider operations using new, drifting broadband sources.
- 3. Acoustic receptions at 400+ km due to shallow sound channel associated with Beaufort Sea near-surface temperature maximum.



Models Struggle to Reproduce Dramatic Reduction in Summertime Sea Ice Extent



- Regime shift from multi-year (thick) to 1st year sea ice.
- Decline primarily thermodynamic, other processes may increase in importance.
- Quantity *and* quality of sea ice impact processes and feedbacks.

Minimum Sea Ice Extent





Improve Predictability – Refine Models

- Process-level investigations
- Improve physics, parameterizations
- Continued testing against sustained observations

Refine physics <u>at the ice edge</u> – between pack ice and open water – <u>Marginal Ice Zone</u>



June

Evolving Beaufort MIZ



1990

2012





August





SSM/I - Posey



Acoustic Navigation System



Buoys:

- Transmit every 4 hours, fixed times.
- GPS synched.
- 900 Hz carrier.
 ~1 bps data rate.

How Does it Work?

- Ice-based sensor array is mobile.
- Therefore <u>must transmit source</u> <u>positions</u> to allow real-time geolocation by gliders.
- Data transmission capability also means <u>commands can be sent to</u> <u>glider</u>.

Glider Receiver Board

Glider Receiver Hydrophone





Receiver on Glider:

- Measures time, computes range.
- Decodes location of buoy.
- Ranges and source locations used to compute real-time position.



MIZ Sea Ice Evolution through the Spring Melt

To understand the processes that govern sea ice melt:

- Ice mass balance.
- Sea ice dynamics (locally and regionally).
- Open water fraction/floe size distribution.
- Surface wave penetration and dissipation.
- Meteorological forcing.
- Upper ocean variability.

Ice extent 2014 April



June



August



October



Courtesy: www.seaice.dk



Real Time Data Display and Asset Maps







Wave measurements





Under the ice

On the ice

In open water (and ice)



Nortek AWAC at 50 m sub-surface

WHOI BGEP mooring "A" 75 N, 150 W



Wave buoys (drifting)



SWIFT buoys (drifting)



waveglider (piloted)





Atmosphere-Ice-Ocean Interaction











Sea ice dynamics

Wilkinson, Maksym and Hwang



Local: GPS is the key

Regional: Satellites are the key



- Understanding ice dynamics leads to a better knowledge of ice deformation processes.
- \circ Need information on local and regional level





- 1. Background The changing Arctic
- 2. Objectives Science and technology development
- 3. Emerging Physics of the Marginal Ice Zone
- 4. A New Approach Light-weight logistics and sustained, autonomous observing
- 5. The MIZ measurement program
 - 1. Acoustic navigation
 - 2. The changing wave climate
 - 3. Sea ice dynamics
 - 4. Upper ocean physics and biology
- 6. Summary



Multi-faceted Impacts



Climate

 Global links... changes in atmospheric circulation linked to heat and drought in US and cold stormy weather in Europe

Industry

 Shipping, oil/gas, minerals, fisheries, tourism...

Economics

- UK Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change (2006). £3.68 trillion
- The cost of Arctic change?





Oil and gas in the Arctic



Indigenous communities

• Loss of traditional way of life

Coastal changes

 Coastal erosion due to enhanced wave energy

Environmental pressures

- Loss of habitat/species
- Increase in ocean acidification
- Change in ocean properties



A New, Emerging Physical Regime





A lot more open water in summer months



Autonomous Seagliders for Ice-Covered Oceans





- Enhanced endurance, reliability
- Compass calibration/check procedures for high-latitudes ops
- Real-time acoustic navigation
- Ice detection- ice climatology, temperature, altimeter
- Enhanced autonomy with 'ice 'behaviors'
- Routine operations in full ice cover and marginal ice zone
- Acoustic communication for data transfer

- Broad Access
 - Remote regions, full ice cover
 - Ice-ocean interface, marginal ice zone.
 - Persistent sampling- long endurance
- Risk Mitigation
 - Limited exposure to ice-ocean interface.
 - Data return when open water available.
- Highly Adaptable
 - Simple logistics.
 - Real time reprogramming.
 - Flexible sampling.
 - Scalable.



Micro-temperature Seaglider



Extended (many months) dissipation measurements from autonomous platforms.

Fully integrated system.

Does not affect flight and endurance.

Real-time data processing and transmission of turbulence profile after each dive.

Data quality comparable to free-falling systems.

Successful 1-month deployment, 6-month deployments in-progress (SPURS- 3 gliders).

Luc Rainville and Craig Lee Applied Physics Laboratory, U. of Washington





Navy Needs and Key Questions



Task Force Climate Change "Arctic Roadmap":

• Must have Arctic environmental information and predictions to support investment and policy decisions, and future operations.

NORTHCOM:

• Must improve ability to observe and predict the Arctic environment.

N2N6E CBA: Better Environmental Information

- Insufficient ability to provide oceanographic information, ice reports, accurate navigation charts, meteorological analysis and forecasts
- How little sea ice will there be, and when will the key changes occur?
 Need better prediction capability underpinned by basic research.
- How is the Arctic region as a whole going to be different?
 Need research into how the entire Arctic environmental system functions.
- What does the Navy need to know to operate in the Arctic?
 Need sustained observations and improved predictions of the state of the Arctic.
- □ How will the changing Arctic affect the rest of the earth, and vice-versa?
 - Need an Arctic environmental system model integrated within global prediction models







IBRV Araon 31 July – 25 August 2014





With thanks to Dr. Sung-Ho Kang and Eun Jin Yang, Captain and crew of IBRV Araon, Maritime Helicopters team: Eric Richard, Dave Guy and Howard Reed and the USCG





What Creates the Sound Duct?











Buoy to buoy performance: Ranges to 400+ km, *due to ducted propagation.* Standard Deviation of 40-60 m.

Glider performance: To 100 km at all depths. To 400 km when in duct.

