

Abstract

As scientists face increasing demands to demonstrate the "broader impacts" of their research, a number of organizations have developed programs to assist scientists in effectively setting and pursuing education and outreach (E&O) objectives. The Centers for Ocean Sciences Education Excellence (COSEE) is a coordinated U.S. network of multi-institution partnerships designed to catalyze and facilitate interactions between ocean scientists and educators. Centers in the network share a common vision, yet they focus on diverse activities from brokering partnerships between scientists and educators to conducting professional development workshops for educators and scientists alike. In order to understand and best address scientists' needs, three of the COSEE centers - COSEE California, Mid-Atlantic, and New England - surveyed scientists about their attitudes toward and involvement in E&O. While it isn't surprising that additional time and funding top the list of scientists' needs, these survey results identify additional needs that can be more easily addressed and that can help justify the time and money scientists spend on E&O activities. Here we present the results of these surveys and highlight actions COSEE is taking to meet the needs of the ocean science community.

5

+--

B

3

With time identified as a key issue, it is necessary to look for creative solutions to address this finite resource. Having staff available to develop or to identify national or international E&O programs in need of scientist *involvement helps to create easy access points for* scientists. By contributing to existing efforts or linking to projects with well developed infrastructure, scientists can see the value of their time contribution. This type of collaboration between educators and scientists leads to quality E&O projects that address specific outcomes and are sustainable over time.

> and to widely publicize the opportunities.

Ocean Sciences Education and Outreach: Identifying and Meeting Scientists' Needs

Thorrold, Andrea L.¹; Franks, SharonE.R.²; McDonnell, Janice³; Peach, Cheryl L.²

cacilitative Services

¹Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI), Woods Hole, MA, USA (athorrold@whoi.edu); ²Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO), University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA (sfranks@ucsd.edu, cpeach@ucsd.edu); ³Institute of Marine & Coastal Sciences (IMCS), Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA (mcdonnel@marine.rutgers.edu)

> In order to address the common issue of lack of time and funding, attention is being placed on the importance of science education specialists serving in the role of facilitators of education and outreach efforts.

Facilitators, working with scientists, contribute their expertise by:

- linking scientists to appropriate opportunities
- identifying partners for collaborations

Return to Table of Contents

serving as a liaison to support partnerships assisting with the preparation of E&O proposals



Working with scientists to determine the best audience for their research.

Return to Table of Contents dventures is a non-profit organization providing tuition free marine science underserved San Diego youth. Aquatic Adventures' programs connect inderserved youth to science, inspire environmental action, and increase exposure to marine habitats. Approximately 7,000 youth are served each year. Increasing awareness of education and outreach opportunities helps scientists understand how to get involved.

CReaTe: Center for Research on Educational Equity, Assessment, and

Teaching Excellence at UCSD

Activity: Descriptions of

Contact: F

mentors, and role models or Co 2 students



At least one (1-2 hour) meeting with a MA COSEE education staff person to discuss and plan your education/outreach contribution
 One 1-5 hour time commitment during the workshop
 One 1-2 hour meeting with a COSEE staff person to evaluate and debrief the

• At least one (1-2 hour) meeting with a MA COSEE education staff person to

At least one (1-2 hour) meeting with a MA COSEE education staff person to

decisions on formative changes to the education and outreach progra
As much as 50% of your budget request. The cost is very variable but

experience
 Approximately 1-4 % of your total budget request

discuss and plan your education/outreach contribution
 One 6-20 hour time commitment during the workshop

 One1-2 hour meeting with a COSEE staff person to evaluate the experience • Approximately 5-10% of your budget request

discuss and plan your education/outreach contribution • A 40-50 hour time commitment annually for workshop deve

RTNER SCIENTIS

One 2-3 hour meeting to review

Programs already in existence provide the infrastructure necessary for scientists to tap into. These programs may be developed by many different groups ranging from communications departments to informal science education institutions to science education specialists. The key to success is to clearly define the roles and expectations for scientists



With programs to plug into, scientists can get wide exposure for a small contribution of time and money.

Providing information to scientists about time and budget considerations for differing levels of involvement in E&O. For many scientists, conducting high-quality education and outreach activities or addressing the broader impacts of their research is something they are not yet familiar with. Facilitators are proactive, anticipate the E&O needs of scientists and can relieve much of the logistical burden of starting a new E&O project. With facilitators on staff, scientists are able to focus their time on contributing their science expertise to the E&O effort.

> cientists discuss their research and how best to tell their story to specific audiences



Web sites help educate scientists about the full range of education and outreach opportunities and audiences available.

Workshops are an effective way to provide more in-depth professional development in regards to education and outreach. Depending on their particular needs, scientists can learn about effective partnerships (Building Pathways: Productive Partnerships Between Scientists and Educators), strategies for communicating research to various audiences (Telling Your Story), or educational pedagogy (Inquiry-Based Science).

Seminars reach scientists in a setting that is both familiar and accessible. The New England Center for Ocean Science Education Excellence (COSEE-NE) sponsors a seminar series exploring how people learn in a wide variety of contexts. These seminars help scientists better understand the research base

that underlies educational practice.

Draft G	àuio	de to Engaging		COLE
Scienti	sts	and Educatorsi and Outeach	n	COSEE CENTERS FOR OCEAN SCIENCE EDUCATION EXCELLENCE
Contents:		Introduction		
Introduction	1			
Overview	2	This Guide was developed by a work- ing group of five colleagues from - in	of these part	ften facilitators) and each ies have different needs
Getting Started	2-3	alphabetical order - the California, Mid- Atlantic and New England Centers for		te is designed primarily fo constitutes a resource that
Guidance for Scientists	4-6	Ocean Sciences Education Excellence (COSEE): Sharon Franks and Cheryl Peach (CA), Janice McDonnell and Eric	science educ:	sed by both scientists and ators interested in collabo ocument is very much a
FAQ For Scientists	7-8	Smms (MA) and Andrea Thorrold (NE).		essand isintended to serve
Case Studies	9-10	Following the May 2004 COSEE Plmeet- ing, we began to discuss the issues re-	asa foundatio than a proscr	on on which to build, rathe riptive treatise. As COSE
FAQ for Informal		lated to engaging scientists in educa-		expands, we will undoubt
Science Educators	10-11	tion and outreach and to create tools that can be used flexibly by scientists		to bring to bear our col encesto refine and modify

and facilitators of scientist-educator collaborations within and beyond the COSEE Network. Feedback on the co format of the draft Delivery of the informatio this Guide to intended audiences (facilitators, scientists and informal scie educators) via the COSEE website and in workshops is prepare facilitators to tools we've created imisguate compressive to tools and science educators in productive and science educators in productive and sustainable relationships. Like any re-ationship, we recognize that there are many parties involved (scientists, edu-Mechanisms for directing requests for assistance from scientists or educators to th people best positioned to 1

uide comprises a set of tools and strategiesfor eng scientists and science educators in productiv A newly developed guide will serve as a valuable resource to scientists and

educators alike.

Online resources provide an effective means of communicating to scientists through a medium they use every

day. Currently, sites are available through the COSEE network to provide information to scientists about E&O opportunities as well as resources that will enable them to plan and implement high-quality E&O activities.

valuation data and help make



Survey Results

From 2003 to 2005, surveys addressing scientists' involvement in education and outreach (E&O) activities were independently conducted by three ocean science institutions (n=120 WHOI; n=80 [2004], 48 [2005] IMCS; n=121 SIO). In addition to collecting baseline data about scientists' current involvement in E&O, these surveys addressed the benefits, barriers, and issues related to their involvement. The major findings from these surveys are summarized below:

It's not surprising that the two most often identified resources hindering scientists' involvement in E&O are

time and money. Scientists also identified a

barrier that is

~	WHOI 2003	IMCS 2004	IMCS 2005	SIO 2005
Time	81%	43%	62%	63%
Funding	50%	34%	51%	68%
Staff	42%	6%	31%	46%

related to these two issues - the lack of staff available to assist in planning and implementing E&O activities.

> When asked about factors (incentives, knowledge or addi-

	WHOI	SIO		
	2003	2005		
Career	31%	70%*		
Advancement				
Feedback on	51%			
Impact				
Education	59%	44%		
Needs				
How to Get	51%	77%		
Involved				
* 85% of SIO scientists also indicated				

85% of SIO scientists also indica that they would find it helpful have institutional appreciation their involvement E&O.

tional skills) that would facilitate participation in E&O, scientists identified career advancement, feedback on the impact of the E&O activity, underf standing the needs

of the education community and learning how to

get involved as the key issues. While the IMCS surveys did not directly address these factors, scientists did note the need for more information on what works in E&O (10% 2004, 30% 2005).

~

~

0

0

S

S

0

Q

0

0

