

# Evolution of Marginal Ice Zone



Craig Lee, Applied Physics Laboratory, Univ. of Washington



More open water in summer... tighter coupling with atmosphere, different dynamics, changing feedbacks, increased importance of the seasonal and marginal ice zones.

# Models Struggle to Reproduce Observations



# Seasonal MIZ in the Beaufort Sea

1990









June

August

SSM/I - Posey

## How do the Atmosphere, Ice & Ocean Interact?









# **ONR Marginal Ice Zone Experiment**

March – October 2014



Ice Mass Balance Buoys- Wilkinson, Hwang (SAMS), Maksym (WHOI)

Wave Buoys- Wadhams (Cambridge), Doble (Laboratoire d'Oceanographie de Villefranche)

Wave Measurements- Thomson (APL-UW)

Autonomous Gliders- Lee, Rainville, Gobat (APL-UW)

Acoustic Navigation and Wavegliders- Freitag (WHOI)

Profiling Floats- Owens, Jayne (WHOI)

Ice-Tethered Profilers- Toole, Krishfield, Cole, Thwaites (WHOI), Timmermans (Yale)

Autonomous Ocean Flux Buoys- Stanton, Shaw (NPS)

MIZMAS model- Zhang, Schweiger, Steel (APL-UW)

Regional Arctic Climate System Model- Maslowski, Roberts, Cassano, Hughes (NPS)

Arctic Nowcast/Forecast Model- Posey, Allard. Brozena, Gardner (NRL)

# Profiling from the Ice (ITP, POPS, AOFB)



Stanton, Shaw (NPS) ; Krishfield, Toole, Proshutinsky (WHOI) ; Kikuchi (JASMTECH) ; Shimada (Tokyo Univ)

- Drift with the ice
- Upper ocean & atmospheric measurements.
- Potential data relay for platforms operating beneath ice.

### Access

- Distributed
- Drift pattern may not access all areas of interest.

### **Risk**

- Break-up and refreeze, open water drift.
- Real-time data return mitigates risk.

## Persistence/Cost/Scalability

- Persistent presence, long endurance.
- Moderate cost and deployment logistics.

### Adaptability/Flexibility

- Adapt for use in marginal ice zone.



# Autonomous Ice Mass Balance (IMB)



#### Access

- Distributed
- Drift pattern may not access all areas of interest.

#### Risk

 Real-time data return mitigates risk.

Persistence/Cost/Scalability

- Persistent presence, long endurance.
- Inexpensive, light logistics.

### Adaptability/Flexibility

- Easy to reconfigure.

Wilkinson (BAS), Hwang (SAMS), Maksym (WHOI) Perovich, Richter-Menge (CRREL)





- Attribute changes in ice cover
- Quantify:
  - Snow accumulation & melt Ice growth Ice surface & bottom ablation Air, ice, ocean temperature Net surface heat budget Ocean heat flux

# Autonomous Wave Measurements: Ice & Water

Wadhams (Cambridge), Dobble (LOV), Wilkinson (BAS), Hwang (SAMS), Maksym (WHOI)

# Waves in Sea Ice 2 May 2007 Welch PSD Estimate D10 Frequency (Hz) 400 km **Original tilt meter** buoy deployment site Tilt meter buov

Ice conditions and power spectral density of swell waves seen by a wave buoy from the first trans-Arctic wave experiment: Beaufort Sea to Fram Strait (Wilkinson et al., 2008). The circled region shows a ~15 second peak originating from ocean waves within the polynya.

#### CPS + indium antennae Perspex dome Solar panels Mounting frange Mounting frange Accelerometry at centre of buoyancy Electronics package Description Electronics Description Electronics Description Des

#### Access

- Distributed
- Drift pattern may not access all areas of interest.

#### Risk

– Real-time data return mitigates risk.

#### Persistence/Cost/Scalability

- Persistent presence, long endurance.
- Inexpensive, light logistics.

#### Adaptability/Flexibility

- Easy to reconfigure.

## Surface Waves in Open Water







## Acoustic Navigation Freitag (WHOI)

-55

-61

-67

-73

-79

-85

-91

-97

-103

-109

115





### <u>Purpose</u>

- Provide real-time navigation for gliders and floats from drifting beacons.
- Transmit simple commands to gliders to alter mission.

## **Acoustic Parameters**

- 900 Hz carrier, 25 Hz bandwidth, 183 dB SPL.
- Very low data rate(1 bit/sec), but could have been faster given conditions.

## <u>Results</u>

- <u>Range far-exceeded expectations:</u> <u>120-230 km typical, Max is 380 km!</u>
- Duct at 100 m prevents sound from scattering off ice.
- Typical max error is less than 100 m and average is 20 m.

# **Science Objectives**

- 1. Understand the physics that control sea ice breakup and melt in and around the ice edge (Marginal Ice Zone MIZ).
- 2. Characterize changes in physics associated with decreasing ice/ increasing open water?
- 3. Explore feedbacks in the ice-ocean-atmosphere system that might increase/decrease the speed of sea ice decline.
- 4. Collect a benchmark dataset for refining and testing models.

## **Technical Objectives**

- 1. Develop and demonstrate new robotic networks for collecting observations in, under and around sea ice.
- 2. Improve interpretation of satellite imagery.
- 3. Improve numerical models to enhance seasonal forecast capability.

# **MIZ Operational Approach**



Risk Mitigation: 20% of assets held for deployment innorAugust at northernmost site using Korean icebreaker Araon.Sep

Follow MIZ retreat northward through September 2014.



Camp at C3

Personnel = 6 persons + dog IESA validation line 3 x scientists/engineers • 3 x helicopter personnel • ----Ice mass balance Accommodation tents buoys Automatic weather station Helicopter site: Wave Buoy fuel + equipment Kitchen tent Ice tethered Autonomous flux buoy profiler

Twin Otter Runway

# Sea Ice February-March 2013



NASA VIRS (http://http://visibleearth.nasa.gov



## Mixing and Exchange

- Internal waves, mixing weak in ice-covered Arctic
- Increased open waterwind-mixing, internal wave generation, solar warming, ice-edge upwelling/ downwelling, fog/clouds
- Impacts stratification, nutrient flux, light availability



# 'Fast & Light' Logistics Requirements



### Aircraft

### <u>Ex Yellowknife</u>

- Hercules and Buffalo aircraft used to bring fuel, supplies and scientific equipment to Sachs Harbour.
- 2 Herc + 1 Buffalo for equipment plus 2 Herc flights for fuel

### <u>Ex Sachs Harbour</u>

- Twin Otters x2 (Ken Borek/British Antarctic Survey)
  - Workhorses: Camp equipment, scientific instrumentation, drummed fuel and personnel.
  - 13 flight days with 2 flights per day
- Bell 412 (Great Slave Helicopters)
  - Pinpoint deployment of instrumentation
  - 7 flight days for 40 precision instrument deployments

### Camp Infrastructure (2 small camps rather than a large, long-term ice camp)

- Small camps = minimal gear, quick setup/breakdown, flexible site selection
- Multiple camps allowed closer proximity to work sites, leapfrogging to minimize population
- 3 x heated tents, portable generators, food, fuel, comms, etc
- 90 person-days on ice, 69 person-nights overnighting at the 2 camps (including NASA/ESA programs)

Scarcity of large pans of thick ice and rapidly changing conditions make large, long-term camps risky for both personnel and mission. This 'Fast and light' approach offers an alternative for some applications.